

Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool
RFA No. HHS0016500
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet

Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Demonstration of Unmet Community Need (20%)			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated ability to address a need for Family Violence services in Dallas or Kendall County.	10%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's visibility in Dallas or Kendall County and their demonstrated awareness of the services needed.	5%		
1.3	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated support and partnership with other nonprofits and local systems in Dallas or Kendall County.	5%		
Subtotal		20%		
2	Program Requirements and Service Delivery (30%)			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to directly provide all required Family Violence services outlined in this RFA.	10%		
2.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to demonstrate the required service model outlined in this RFA.	10%		
2.3	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to measure service delivery effectiveness required by this RFA.	10%		
Subtotal		30%		
3	Program Administration and Readiness (30%)			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's demonstrated experience, readiness, and staff capacity to work with survivors of Family Violence, Domestic Violence and Dating Violence.	15%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to comply with Title 26 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 356, Subchapter B by the start of the grant award.	15%		
Subtotal		30%		
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability (20%)			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's current accounting systems and processes.	10%		
4.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to meet the maximum FVP funding level requirements.	5%		
4.3	Evaluate the Applicant's community and board support in applying for funding.	5%		
Subtotal		20%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016500		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit G, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016500		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Demonstration of Unmet Community Need	20%
2	Program Requirements and Service Delivery	30%
3	Program Administration and Readiness	30%
4	Organizational and Fiscal Stability	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%